ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MINUTES – SEPTEMBER 28, 2020

The Zoning Board of Appeals met on Monday, September 28, 2020, at Freedom Hall, at 6:00 P.M. Chairman Wharram presiding. Present: Hanback, Jones, Wharram, Aupperle, Butterfield, and Spahr. Absent: Barton. Also, in attendance: Zoning Officer Brad Marks and Attorney Pat McGrath.

Spahr made a motion to approve the minutes from the July 27, 2020 ZBA meeting. Aupperle seconded the motion to approve. The minutes from the July 27, 2020 meeting were unanimously approved.

PUBLIC HEARING(S):

Case No. 20-04: Ryan & Keely LeTourneau are seeking a variance for the property located at 420 E. Jefferson St. The petitioner seeks a variance from Ordinance #78-31 relative to setback requirements. The petitioner is requesting the installation of a 6' privacy fence on the front lot line that fronts Fifth Ave. The required front setback is 12 ½'. This results in a variance of 12 ½'. Keely LeTourneau presented the reasons in which she felt this variance should be granted. Phillip Geier, the property owner at 519 E. Jefferson St. spoke from the public. Mr. Geier stated the reasons in which he felt the variance should be denied. After much discussion from the board, a vote to approve was made by Jones. A second motion for a vote to approve was made by Aupperle. This was followed by a vote to approve.

Yes-Spahr

No-Butterfield, Hanback, Jones, Wharram, Aupperle

Case No. 20-04 Not Approved

Case No. 20-05: Gary L Hunt and Michelle R. Hunt are seeking a variance for the property located at 99 Maple Ridge Drive. The petitioner seeks a variance from Ordinance #93-30 relative to the permitted location of an accessory structure. Accessory structures are permitted in the rear yard only. The request would allow for an in-ground swimming pool, pool deck and pergola to be in the side yard. Gary Hunt presented the reasons in which he felt this variance should be granted. No one from the public spoke. With little discussion from the board, a motion to approve was made by Jones. A second motion to approve was made by Hanback. This was followed by a vote to approve.

Yes-Hanback, Jones, Spahr, Wharram, Aupperle, Butterfield **No**-None

Case No. 20-05 Approved

Other Business: None

Brad Marks: Nothing

With no further business, Butterfield made a motion to adjourn. The motion to adjourn was seconded by Aupperle. A vote to adjourn was unanimously approved.